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## 1. Introduction

Key diversification is a process of deriving the keys from a master (base) key using some unique input. Each card is getting a different value for each key, so that if one key is broken somehow (maybe from the terminal); the vulnerability is limited to that key on that card rather than the whole system being affected.
The diversified keys are generated and given (stored) to the PICC at its personalization phase, so all cards get unique keys. In the validation process, the POS terminal gets the information to generate the unique key for that unique card which is presented. MIFARE SAM AV2 can be an optimum secure solution for this key diversification process. The master (base) key can be stored securely in the MIFARE SAM AV2 and can be used to generate or use only the diversified keys.
MIFARE SAM AV2 supports two types of key diversification:

- old method, based on classical encryption and backwards compatible with SAM AV1, and
- new method, based on CMAC calculation

In this document, only the key diversification based on CMAC calculation is discussed, as it is the recommended one and new to the MIFARE SAM product. AES (128 and 192bit key length) and TDEA (2-key and 3-key TDES) keys can be diversified using this CMAC based key diversification method.
In this document the algorithms are explained in a way that, they can be implemented easily in the SW in the installations without SAM today, but tomorrow using SAM.
All keys in a card can be derived from one master key however it is also possible to use a different master key for one set of keys versus another set of keys.

### 1.1 Abbreviations

Table 1. Abbreviations

| Abbreviations | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- |
| AES | Advanced Encryption Standard |
| AID | Application ID |
| CBC | Cipher Block Chaining |
| CMAC | Cipher based MAC |
| DES | Data Encryption Standard |
| DF | DESFire |
| IV | Init Vector |
| LSB | Lowest Significant Bit |
| MAC | Message Authentication Code |
| MSB | Most Significant Bit |
| PCD | Proximity Coupling Device (reader/ writer unit) |
| PICC | Proximity Integrated Circuit Card |
| POS | Point Of Sales |
| TDEA | Triple Data Encryption Algorithm |
| UID | Unique Identification number |

### 1.2 Examples presented in this document

The following symbols have been used to mention the operations in the examples:
= Preparation of data by SAM, PICC or host.

Please note, that the numerical data are used solely as examples. They appear in the text, in order to clarify the commands and command data.

Any data, values, cryptograms are expressed as hex string format if not otherwise mentioned e.g. $0 \times 563412$ in hex string format represented as " 123456 ". Byte [0] $=0 \times 12$, Byte [1] $=0 \times 34$, Byte [2] $=0 \times 56$.

## 2. Key Diversification

### 2.1 Construction

For diversification, the recommended way by NXP is to use the CMAC construction of an amount of data using a master key. See [CMAC].
The pre-requisite is that there is enough input "diversification data" in order to make it a MAC. A MAC is used rather than encryption to make it a one way function.


Fig 1. CMAC construction (2 cases: left without padding, right with padding)
Fig 1 illustrates the standard CMAC constructions (see [CMAC]) in two possible padding cases.

According to [CMAC], to avoid certain classes of attack (in the CMAC), the last block is modified before ciphering by being XORed with one of two possible "sub key" values (denoted K1 or K2), derived from an encryption of the zero vector under the key in use; the choice of which sub key to use is determined by whether the last message block contains padding or not.
These computations can be abstracted by the function CMAC ( $K, D$, padded). In the context of the key derivations described further in this document another primitive is used because the padding is performed in a non-CMAC standard way. The corresponding computations can be abstracted by the function CMAC ( $K, D$, Padded), where $\boldsymbol{K}$ is the key to be diversified, $\boldsymbol{D}$ the diversification input data and Padded is a Boolean flag that signals to the CMAC(.,.,.) function whether M had to be padded or not.
If the keys are to be diversified per card, it is recommended to use for the diversification input at least the UID of the card concatenated with e.g.

- For MIFARE Plus: the block number where the key is stored. Note however that if multi-sector authentication is desired, all keys that need to be the same need to be generated using same block number.
- For MIFARE DESFire: key number concatenated with application number.

Note: In this implementation, always two blocks (two times 16-byte for AES and two times 8-byte for TDEA) of message have been used.

### 2.2 AES-128 key

Input:

- 1 to 31 bytes of diversification input (let's name it " M ")
- 16 bytes AES 128 bits master key (let's name it "K")


## Output:

- 16 bytes AES 128 bits diversified key.


## Algorithm:

1. Calculate CMAC input D:
2. $\mathrm{D} \leftarrow 0 \times 01| | \mathrm{M}| |$ Padding
3. Padding is chosen such that $D$ always has a length of 32 bytes. Padding bytes are according to the CMAC padding, i.e. 80h followed by 00h bytes. So the length of Padding is 0 to 30 bytes.
4. Calculate the Boolean flag 'Padded', which is true if M is less than 31 bytes long, false otherwise. The Boolean argument "Padded" is needed because it must be known in AES128CMAC which K1 or K2 is to be used in the last computation round.
5. Calculate output:
6. Diversified Key $\leftarrow$ AES128CMAC (K, D, Padded)

Processing load:
One AES 128 key load, 3 AES 128 computations
Fig 2 shows the algorithm as a block diagram.


Fig 2. Diversification of 128-bit AES key

### 2.2.1 AES-128 key diversification example

Master key $(K)=00112233445566778899 A A B B C C D D E E F F$, which will be diversified.
Table 2. Example - AES 128 key diversification

| step | Indication |  | Datal Message | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CMAC sub key generation |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Master key (K) | $=$ | 001122334455667788 99AABBCCDDEEFF | The key, which is going to be diversified |
| 2 | K0 | $=$ | FDE4FBAE4A09E020 <br> EFF722969F83832B | CIPHK(0b), AES (K, 16-byte 0s). |
| 3 | K1 | $=$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FBC9F75C9413C041D } \\ & \text { FEE452D3F0706D1 } \end{aligned}$ | The first sub key, see in [CMAC]. |
| 4 | K2 | $=$ | F793EEB928278083B FDC8A5A7E0E0D25 | The second sub key, see in [CMAC]. |
| Diversified key generation |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | UID | $=$ | 04782E21801D80 | 7-byte UID of PICC |
| 6 | Application ID | $=$ | 3042F5 | 3- byte DESFire AID |
| 7 | System Identifier | $=$ | 4E585020416275 | ASCII of system identifier name |
| 8 | Diversification input (M) | $=$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 04782E21801D803042 } \\ & \text { F54E585020416275 } \end{aligned}$ | Data from step 5 to step 7. It doesn't matter how you make your diversification input, diversification input must be unique for unique PICC e.g. here the UID is unique and the same diversification input must be used in personalization and validation of the PICC. Maximum length of M is 31 bytes. |
| 9 | Add the Div Constant 1 at the beginning of $M$ | $=$ | 0104782E21801D8030 <br> 42F54E585020416275 | Div constant is fixed, must be $0 \times 01$ for AES 128 keys. |
| 10 | Do I need Padding | $=$ | Yes | The algorithm always needs 32-byte block for AES; so far we have 18 bytes (step 9). |
| 11 | Padding | $=$ | $\begin{aligned} & 800000000000000000 \\ & 0000000000 \end{aligned}$ | 14-byte padding to make 32-byte block. |
| 12 | CMAC input D | $=$ | 0104782E21801D8030 42F54E585020416275 800000000000000000 0000000000 | 32 bytes |
| 13 | Last 16-byte is XORed with K2 | $=$ | 0104782E21801D8030 42F54E5850204195E6 6EB928278083BFDC8 A5A7E0E0D25 | As the padding is added the last block is XORed with K2, if padding is not added, then XORed with K1. |
| 14 | Encryption using K | $=$ | 351DB989A47CCA648 4CCE346FD5AE767A 8DD63A3B89D54B37 CA802473FDA9175 | Standard AES encryption with IV = 00s in CBC mode |
| 15 | Diversified key | $=$ | A8DD63A3B89D54B3 7CA802473FDA9175 | Last 16-byte block. (CMAC) |

If the length of $M$ is more than 15 bytes, standard CMAC algorithm can be used, without taking care of padding, XOR-ing and encryption. The message for standard CMAC is then the data of step 9.

### 2.3 AES-192 key

Input:

- 1 to 31 bytes of diversification input (let's name it "M").
- 24 bytes AES 192 bits master key (let's name it "K").


## Output:

- 24 bytes AES 192 bits diversified key.


## Algorithm:

1. Calculate CMAC input D1 and D2:
2. D1 $\leftarrow 0 \times 11$ || M || Padding
3. D2 $\leftarrow 0 \times 12$ || M || Padding
4. Padding is chosen such that D1 and D2 always have a length of 32 bytes. Padding bytes are according to the CMAC padding, i.e. 80 h followed by 00h bytes. So the length of Padding is 0 to 30 bytes.
5. Calculate the Boolean flag 'Padded', which is true if $M$ is less than 31 bytes long, false otherwise. The Boolean argument "Padded" is needed because it must be known in AES192CMAC which K1 or K2 is to be used in the last computation round.
6. Calculate output:
7. DerivedKeyA $\leftarrow$ AES192CMAC(K, D1, Padded)
8. DerivedKeyB $\leftarrow$ AES192CMAC (K, D2, Padded)
9. DiversifiedKey $\leftarrow$ first 8 bytes of DerivedKeyA || (next 8 bytes of DerivedKeyA XOR first 8 bytes of DerivedKeyB) || next 8 bytes of DerivedKeyB

Processing load:
One AES 192 key load, 6 AES 192 computations
If the special CMAC keys K1 and/or K2 can be reused from one to the following AES_CMAC operation, then we will need only 5 AES computations. But this depends on the HW implementation of the CMAC operation.

Fig 3 shows the algorithm as a block diagram.


Fig 3. Diversification of 192-bit AES key

### 2.3.1 AES-192 key diversification example

Master key $(K)=00112233445566778899 A A B B C C D D E E F F 0102030405060708$, which will be diversified.

Table 3. Example - AES 192 key diversification

| step | Indication |  | Datal Message | Comment |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CMAC sub key generation |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Master key (K) | $=$ | 001122334455667788 <br> 99AABBCCDDEEFF01 <br> 02030405060708 | The key, which is going to be <br> diversified |
| 2 | K0 | $=$ | 52DB5AFE7B64EFFA <br> B1E92EEA983C5F73 | CIPHK(0b), AES (K, 16-byte 0s). |
| 3 | K1 | $=$ | A5B6B5FCF6C9DFF5 | The first sub key, see in [CMAC]. |


| step | Indication |  | Datal Message | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 63D25DD53078BEE6 |  |
| 4 | K2 | $=$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4B6D6BF9ED93BFEA } \\ & \text { C7A4BBAA60F17D4B } \end{aligned}$ | The second sub key, see in [CMAC]. |
| Diversified key generation |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | UID | $=$ | 04782E21801D80 | 7-byte UID of PICC |
| 6 | Application ID | $=$ | 3042F5 | 3- byte DESFire AID |
| 7 | System Identifier | $=$ | 4E585020416275 | ASCII of system identifier name |
| 8 | Diversification input (M) | $=$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 04782E21801D803042 } \\ & \text { F54E585020416275 } \end{aligned}$ | Data from step 5 to step 7. It doesn't matter how you make your diversification input, diversification input must be unique for unique PICC e.g. here the UID is unique and the same diversification input must be used in personalization and validation of the PICC. Maximum length of $M$ is 31 bytes. |
| 9 | Add the Div Constant 2 at the beginning of $M$ | $=$ | 1104782E21801D8030 42F54E585020416275 | Div constant 2 is fixed, must be $0 \times 11$ for AES 192 keys. |
| 10 | Do I need Padding | $=$ | Yes | The algorithm always needs 32-byte block for AES; so far we have 18 bytes. |
| 11 | Padding | $=$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8000000000000000000 \\ & 0000000000 \end{aligned}$ | 14-byte padding to make 32-byte block. |
| 12 | CMAC input D1 | $=$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 104782E21801D80304 } \\ & \text { 2F54E5850204162758 } \\ & 000000000000000000 \\ & 000000000 \end{aligned}$ | 32 bytes |
| 13 | Last 16-byte is XORed with K2 | $=$ | 1104782E21801D8030 42F54E585020412918 EBF9ED93BFEAC7A4 BBAA60F17D4B | As the padding is added the last block is XORed with K2, if padding is not added, then XORed with K1. |
| 14 | Encryption using K | $=$ | C09ADDAE085769A6 <br> E25DE29E51DA3669 <br> CE39C8E1CD82D9A7 <br> 869FE6A2EF75725D | Standard AES encryption with IV = 00s in CBC mode |
| 15 | Diversified key A | $=$ | CE39C8E1CD82D9A7 869FE6A2EF75725D | Last 16-byte block. (CMAC) |
| 16 | Add the Div Constant 3 at the beginning of $M$ | $=$ | 1204782E21801D8030 42F54E585020416275 | Div Constant 3 is fixed, must be 0x12 for AES 192 keys. |
| 17 | CMAC input D2 | $=$ | 1204782E21801D8030 42F54E585020416275 800000000000000000 0000000000 | Here the only difference is Div Constant 3 , which is ' 12 ' fixed for AES 192. |
| 18 | Last 16-byte is XORed with K2 | $=$ | 1204782E21801D8030 42F54E585020412918 EBF9ED93BFEAC7A4 BBAA60F17D4B | As the padding is added the last block is XORed with K2, if padding is not added, then XORed with K1. |
| 19 | Encryption using K | $=$ | D052C22EA94BEFE1 | Standard AES encryption with IV = |


| step | Indication |  | Datal Message | Comment |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | F748A9F5A675188A <br> $38440 F 75 A 580 E 97 E$ <br> 176755EE7586E12C | 00s in CBC mode |  |
| 20 | Derived key B | $=$ | $38440 F 75 A 580 E 97 E$ <br> $176755 E E 7586 E 12 C$ | Last 16-byte block. (CMAC) |
| 21 | First 8-byte of <br> derived key A | $=$ | CE39C8E1CD82D9A7 |  |
| 22 | Last 8-byte of <br> derived key A | $=$ | 869FE6A2EF75725D |  |
| 23 | First 8-byte of <br> derived key B | $=$ | $38440 F 75 A 580 E 97 E$ |  |
| 24 | Step 22 XOR step <br> 23 | $=$ | BEDBE9D74AF59B23 |  |
| 25 | Last 8-byte of <br> derived key B | $=$ | 176755EE7586E12C |  |
| 26 | Diversified Key | $=$ | CE39C8E1CD82D9A7 <br> EDBE9D74AF59B2317 <br> $6755 E E 7586 E 12 C ~$ | Step 21 + Step 24 + step 25 |

If the length of $M$ is more than 15 bytes, standard CMAC algorithm can be used, without taking care of padding, X-ORing and encryption. The message for standard CMAC is then the data of step 9 and data of step 16.

### 2.4 2TDEA key

Input:

- 1 to 15 bytes of diversification input (let's name it "M")
- 16 bytes 2TDEA master key (let's name it "K")


## Output:

- 16 bytes 2TDEA diversified key.


## Algorithm:

1. Calculate CMAC input D1 and D2:
2. $\mathrm{D} 1 \leftarrow 0 \times 21$ || M || Padding
3. $\mathrm{D} 2 \leftarrow 0 x 22$ || M || Padding
4. Padding is chosen such that D1 and D2 always have a length of 16 bytes. Padding bytes are according to the CMAC padding, i.e. 80 h followed by 00h bytes. So the length of Padding is 0 to 14 bytes.
5. Calculate the boolean flag 'Padded', which is true if $M$ is less than 15 bytes long, false otherwise. The Boolean argument "Padded" is needed because it must be known in TDEACMAC which K1 or K2 is to be used in the last computation round.
6. Calculate output:

- DerivedKey1 = TDEACMAC(K, D1, Padded)
- DerivedKey2 $=$ TDEACMAC(K, D2, Padded)
- 16-byte diversified key = DerivedKey1 || DerivedKey2.

Processing load: one 2TDEA key load, 6 2TDEA computations
We can reduce the TDEA operations to 5 if the CMAC K1 and/or K2 can be reused.
The Boolean argument "Padded" is needed because it must be known in TDEACMAC which K1 or K2 is to be used in the last computation round.

Remark: The master key can only be used about 1 million times if one wants to comply with SP 800-38B. This means that the construction suggested here can be used for 500000 cards. If more than 500000 cards are needed, and if duplicate keys are not acceptable for the application, a two level key diversification mechanism could be used.

Fig 4 shows the algorithm as a block diagram.


Fig 4. Diversification of 2TDEA key
MIFARE DESFire products store key version information in the lowest significant bits of the first 8 bytes 2TDEA key. If this versioning information is to be preserved, it is to be copied from the master key into the diversified key.

### 2.4.1 2TDEA key diversification example

Master key $(K)=00112233445566778899 A A B B C C D D E E F F$, which will be diversified.
Table 4. Example-2TDEA key diversification

| step | Indication |  | Datal Message | Comment |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CMAC sub key generation |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Master key (K) | $=$ | 001122334455667788 <br> 99AABBCCDDEEFF | The key, which is going to be <br> diversified |
| 2 | K0 | $=$ | FB09759972301AF4 | CIPHK(Ob), 2DEA (K, 8-byte 0s). |
| 3 | K1 | $=$ | F612EB32E46035F3 | The first sub key, see in [CMAC]. |
| 4 | K2 | $=$ | EC25D665C8C06BFD | The second sub key, see in [CMAC]. |

Diversified key generation

| 5 | UID | $=$ | 04782E21801D80 | 7-byte UID of PICC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | Application ID | $=$ | 3042F5 | 3- byte DESFire AID |
| 7 | System Identifier | $=$ | 4E58502041 | ASCII of system identifier name |
| 8 | Diversification input (M) | $=$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 04782E21801D803042 } \\ & \text { F54E58502041 } \end{aligned}$ | Data from step 5 to step 7. It doesn't matter how you specify your diversification input, the main thing, Diversification input must be unique for unique PICC e.g. here the UID is unique and the same diversification input must be used in personalization and validation of the PICC. This has to be up to 16 bytes. |
| 9 | Add the TDEA Div Constant 1 at the beginning of M | $=$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2104782E21801D8030 } \\ & \text { 42F54E58502041 } \end{aligned}$ | It is fixed, must be ' 21 ' for 2TDEA keys. |
| 10 | Do I need Padding | $=$ | No | The algorithm always needs 16-byte block for TDEA, Here message is 16 bytes. |
| 11 | CMAC input D1 | $=$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2104782E21801D8030 } \\ & \text { 42F54E58502041 } \end{aligned}$ | 16 bytes |
| 12 | Last 16-byte is XORed with K1 | $=$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2104782E21801D80C } \\ & \text { 6501E7CBC3015B2 } \end{aligned}$ | As the padding is NOT added the last block is XORed with K1, if padding is added, then XOR with K2. |
| 13 | Encryption using K | $=$ | 5B7B81DCDE98A6BE 16F8597C9E8910C8 | Standard TDEA encryption with IV = 00s in CBC mode |
| 14 | Derived Key 1 | $=$ | 16F8597C9E8910C8 | CMAC |
| 15 | Add the TDEA Div Constant 2 at the beginning of M | $=$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2204782E21801D8030 } \\ & \text { 42F54E58502041 } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 16 | Do I need Padding | $=$ | No |  |
| 17 | CMAC input D1 | $=$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2204782E21801D8030 } \\ & \text { 42F54E58502041 } \end{aligned}$ | 16 bytes |
| 18 | Last 8-byte is XORed with K1 | $=$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2204782E21801D80C } \\ & \text { 6501E7CBC3015B2 } \end{aligned}$ | As the padding is NOT added the last block is XORed with K1, if padding is added, then XOR with K2. |
| 19 | Encryption using K | $=$ | D2292CCE0B8106CE 6B9648D006107DD7 | Standard TDEA encryption with IV = 00s in CBC mode |


| step | Indication |  | Datal Message | Comment |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 20 | Derived Key 2 | $=$ | 6B9648D006107DD7 | CMAC |
| 21 | 2TDEA diversified <br> key (without <br> restoring the key <br> version) | $=$ | 16F8597C9E8910C86 <br> B9648D006107DD7 | Step 15 + step 20 |

The lowest significant bit of every key byte is not used in DES calculation. MIFARE DESFire and SAMs use the lowest significant bit of first eight bytes key as the key version. In this example the version of master key $=0 \times 55\left(01010101_{b}\right)$. These version bits are required to insert in the diversified key as well, to make the same key version for master key and diversified keys.
\(22\left|\begin{array}{l}2TDEA diversified <br>
key <br>
(after inserting the <br>

key version)\end{array}\right|=|\)| 16F9587D9E8910C9 |
| :--- |
| 6B9648D006107DD7 |

If the length of $M$ is more than 7 bytes, standard CMAC algorithm can be used, without taking care of padding, XOR-ing and encryption. The message for standard CMAC is then the data of step 9 and data of step 15.

### 2.5 3TDEA key

Input:

- 1 to 15 bytes of diversification input (let's name it "M")
- 24 bytes 3TDEA master key (let's name it "K")


## Output:

- 24 bytes 3TDEA diversified key.


## Algorithm:

1. Calculate CMAC input D1, D2 and D3:
2. D1 $\leftarrow 0 \times 31$ || M || Padding
3. D2 $\leftarrow 0 \times 32$ || M || Padding
4. D3 $\leftarrow 0 x 33$ || M || Padding
5. Padding is chosen such that D1, D2 and D3 always have a length of 16 bytes. Padding bytes are according to the CMAC padding, i.e. 80h followed by 00h bytes. So the length of Padding is 0 to 14 bytes.
6. Calculate the Boolean flag 'Padded', which is true if $M$ is less than 15 bytes long, false otherwise. The Boolean argument "Padded" is needed because it must be known in TDEACMAC which K1 or K2 is to be used in the last computation round.
7. Calculate output:

- DerivedKey1 = TDEACMAC(K, D1, Padded)
- DerivedKey2 = TDEACMAC(K, D2, Padded)
- DerivedKey3 $=$ TDEACMAC(K, D3, Padded)
- 16-byte diversified key = DerivedKey1 || DerivedKey2 || DerivedKey3.

Remark: The master key can only be used about 1 million times if one wants to comply to SP 800-38B. This means that the construction suggested here can be used for about 330000 cards. If more than 330000 cards are needed, and if duplicate keys are not acceptable for the application, a two level key diversification mechanism is used.
The Boolean argument "Padded" is needed because it must be known in TDEACMAC which K1 or K2 is to be used in the last computation round.
Fig 5 shows the algorithm as a block diagram.


MIFARE DESFire products store key version information in the lowest significant bits of the first 8 bytes 3TDEA key. If this versioning information is to be preserved, it is to be copied from the master key into the diversified key.

### 2.5.1 3TDEA key diversification example

Master key $(K)=00112233445566778899 A A B B C C D D E E F F 0102030405060708$, which will be diversified.

Table 5. Example - 3TDEA key diversification

| step | Indication |  | Data/ Message | Comment |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CMAC sub key generation |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Master key | $=$ | 001122334455667788 <br> 99AABBCCDDEEFF01 <br> 02030405060708 | The key, which is going to be <br> diversified |
| 2 | K0 | $=$ | 51F6AC7C734A0DE5 | CIPHK(Ob), 2DEA (K, 8-byte 0s). |
| 3 | K1 | $=$ | A3ED58F8E6941BCA | The first sub key, see in [CMAC]. |
| 4 | K2 | $=$ | 47DAB1F1CD28378F | The second sub key, see in [CMAC]. |

Diversified key generation

| 5 | UID | $=$ | 04782E21801D80 | 7-byte UID of PICC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | Application ID | $=$ | 3042F5 | 3- byte DESFire AID |
| 7 | System Identifier | $=$ | 4E5850 | ASCII of system identifier name |
| 8 | Diversification input (M) | $=$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 04782E21801D803042 } \\ & \text { F54E5850 } \end{aligned}$ | Data from step 5 to step 7. It doesn't matter how you specify your diversification input, the main thing, Diversification input must be unique for unique PICC e.g. here the UID is unique and the same diversification input must be used in personalization and validation of the PICC. This has to be up to 16 bytes. |
| 9 | After inserting TDEA Div constant 3 | $=$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3104782E21801D8030 } \\ & \text { 42F54E5850 } \end{aligned}$ | It is fixed, must be ' 31 ' for 3TDEA keys. |
| 10 | Do I need Padding | $=$ | Yes | The algorithm always needs 16-byte block for TDEA, here message is 14 bytes. |
| 11 | CMAC input D1 | $=$ | 3104782E21801D8030 <br> 42F54E58508000 | 8000 padding added |
| 12 | Last 8-byte is XORed with K2 | $=$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3104782E21801D8077 } \\ & \text { 9844BF9578B78F } \end{aligned}$ | As the padding is added the last block is XORed with K 2 , if padding is NOT added, then XOR with K1. |
| 13 | Encryption using K | $=$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4C294A83A6829EC12 } \\ & \text { F0DD03675D3FB9A } \end{aligned}$ | Standard TDEA encryption with IV = 00s in CBC mode |
| 14 | Derived Key 1 | $=$ | 2F0DD03675D3FB9A | CMAC |
| 15 | After inserting TDEA Div constant 4 in M | $=$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3204782E21801D8030 } \\ & \text { 42F54E5850 } \end{aligned}$ | It is fixed, must be ' 32 ' for 3TDEA keys. |
| 16 | Do I need Padding | $=$ | Yes | The algorithm always needs 16-byte block for TDEA, here message is 14 bytes. |
| 17 | CMAC input D2 | $=$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3204782E21801D8030 } \\ & \text { 42F54E58508000 } \end{aligned}$ | 8000 padding added |
| 18 | Last 8-byte is | = | 3204782E21801D8077 | Diversification constant and |


| step | Indication |  | Datal Message | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | XORed with K2 |  | 9844BF9578B78F | diversification input. Here the constant must be '32' |
| 19 | Encryption using K | $=$ | 41A9459AB5B209905 705AB0BDA91CA0B | Standard TDEA encryption with IV = 00s in CBC mode |
| 20 | Derived Key 2 | $=$ | 5705AB0BDA91CA0B | CMAC |
| 21 | After inserting TDEA Div constant 5 in M | $=$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3304782E21801D8030 } \\ & \text { 42F54E5850 } \end{aligned}$ | It is fixed, must be ' 33 ' for 3TDEA keys. |
| 22 | Do I need Padding | $=$ | Yes | The algorithm always needs 16-byte block for TDEA, here message is 14 bytes |
| 23 | CMAC input D3 | $=$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3304782E21801D8030 } \\ & \text { 42F54E58508000 } \end{aligned}$ | 8000 padding added |
| 24 | Last 8-byte is XORed with K2 | $=$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3304782E21801D8077 } \\ & \text { 9844BF9578B78F } \end{aligned}$ | Diversification constant and diversification input. Here the constant must be '33' |
| 25 | Encryption using K | $=$ | 7FABF1B71419AF155 5B8E07FCDBF10EC | Standard TDEA encryption with IV = OOs in CBC mode |
| 26 | Derived Key 3 | $=$ | 55B8E07FCDBF10EC | CMAC |
| 27 | Diversified 3TDEA key (without restoring the key version) | $=$ | 2F0DD03675D3FB9A5 705AB0BDA91CA0B5 5B8E07FCDBF10EC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 24-byte 3TDEA key. (Step } 14 \text { + step } \\ & 20 \text { + step } 26 \text { ). } \end{aligned}$ |

The lowest significant bit of every key byte is not used in DES calculation. MIFARE DESFire and SAMs use the lowest significant bit of first eight bytes key as the key version. In this example the version of master key $=0 \times 55\left(01010101_{b}\right)$. These version bits are required to insert in the diversified key as well, to make the same key version for master key and diversified keys.

| 28 | Diversified 3TDEA <br> key <br> (after restoring the <br> key version) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |\(\left|=\begin{array}{l}2E0DD03774D3FA9B5 <br>

705AB0BDA91CA0B5 <br>
5B8E07FCDBF10EC\end{array}\right|\)

If the length of $M$ is more than 7 bytes, standard CMAC algorithm can be used, without taking care of padding, XOR-ing and encryption. The message for standard CMAC is then the data of step 9, step 15 and step 21.

## 3. Conclusion

The master keys must be stored securely if the algorithms are implemented in software. MIFARE SAM AV2 offers secure storage of the master keys and dynamic diversifications. For the optimum security, using MIFARE SAM AV2 can be the best solution. The user shall take care for defining his master keys, shall avoid the weak keys whenever necessary. Neither the SAM nor the algorithms analyze the keys. NXP recommends using AES instead of TDEA.
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